

Published on Web 01/09/2009

Competitive Inhibition of Uracil DNA Glycosylase by a Modified Nucleotide Whose Triphosphate is a Substrate for DNA Polymerase

Haidong Huang,[†] James T. Stivers,[‡] and Marc M. Greenberg^{*,†}

Department of Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21218, and Department of Pharmacology & Molecular Sciences, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, 725 North Wolfe Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21205

Received September 29, 2008; E-mail: mgreenberg@jhu.edu

DNA base excision repair (BER) is an essential process in prokaryotes and eukaryotes for protecting the integrity of the genome. The first step in BER of modified nucleotides is carried out by glycosylases, such as uracil DNA glycosylase (UDG), which produces an abasic site (AP) via an oxocarbenium ion from 2'deoxyuridine that arises from deamination of 2'-deoxycytidine (Scheme 1).^{1,2} UDG homologues exist in bacteria, viruses (e.g., HIV, HSV-1), as well as humans, and are potential antiviral and anticancer targets.^{3,4} Small molecule competitive inhibitors that bind UDG in the micromolar range have been discovered.⁵ In addition, synthetic oligonucleotides containing modified nucleotides and nucleotide analogues that inhibit UDG or other BER glycosylases have also been reported.⁶⁻¹¹ However, the most potent of these inhibitors are not incorporated into DNA by polymerases because they lack a nucleobase. In addition, some 2'-deoxynucleotide triphosphates of inhibitors containing 2'-fluoro substituents are substrates for thermostable polymerases used in PCR and Pol $\boldsymbol{\alpha},$ but not Pol III, which limits their potential as therapeutic candidates.¹²⁻¹⁴

Scheme 1



We postulated that 1'-cyano-2'-deoxyuridine (CNdU) would be a UDG inhibitor whose respective nucleotide triphosphate (2) would also be a substrate for DNA polymerase. The strong destabilization of carbocations by α -cyano groups suggested that CNdU would be a potent UDG inhibitor in DNA. Furthermore, molecular modeling of the enzyme-DNA complex in which CNdU was substituted for pseudouridine suggested that the cyano group would only weakly perturb the protein's structure (Figure 1). The nucleotide triphosphates of various 2'-fluoro substituted nucleotides that inhibit BER glycosylases when incorporated in synthetic oligonucleotides are not accepted as substrates for DNA polymerases because they alter the pucker of the sugar ring. C1'substituted 2'-deoxyribonucleotide triphosphates (dNTPs) have not been reported as substrates for DNA polymerases. However, the acceptance of C4'-modified dNTPs as substrates by these enzymes encouraged us to investigate 2 in this regard.¹⁵

Incorporation of **2** by the Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I that lacks proofreading capability (Klenow exo⁻) was examined quantitatively under steady-state conditions using primertemplate complex **3** and dNTP **2** that was synthesized from **CNdU** via standard methods.^{16,17} The dNTP was accepted as a substrate by Klenow exo⁺ (apparent $K_m = 14.8 \pm 1.1 \ \mu$ M, $V_{max} = 6.5 \pm 1.2\% \cdot min^{-1}$, 0.33 nM), which contains proofreading ability (Figure 2A). **CNdU** was also incorporated slightly less efficiently by



Klenow exo⁻ (apparent $K_{\rm m} = 15.6 \pm 1.1 \ \mu M$, $V_{\rm max} = 6.7 \pm 1.0\% \cdot {\rm min^{-1}}$, 1 nM), albeit ~350-fold less efficiently than dT (Figure 2B). **CNdU** was not incorporated opposite dG or dC, and only weakly opposite dT in the presence of high concentrations (0.3 mM) of **2**. In addition to selective incorporation opposite dA, complete extension was achieved when the primer-template complex (50 nM) was in excess of enzyme (5 nM), indicating that multiple molecules of **CNdU** can be incorporated.¹⁷



Figure 1. Molecular modeling showing the accommodation of a 1'-cyano substituent on pseudo-2'-deoxyuridine containing DNA cocrystallized with human UDG (PDB ID: 1EMH). The arrow points toward the cyano substituent.

Having established that **CNdU** can be incorporated into DNA we turned our attention to its ability to inhibit UDG when present in the biopolymer. Oligonucleotides containing **CNdU** were synthesized by solid-phase synthesis from the respective phosphoramidite (1). DNA containing **CNdU** (4b) was not a substrate for UDG. Hydrolysis of **CNdU** by UDG would produce 2-deoxyribonolactone, which like **AP** is cleaved under mild alkaline conditions.^{17,18} However, no evidence of reaction of 5'-³²P-4b was observed even upon prolonged exposure (24 h, 37 °C) to *E. coli* UDG. In contrast, 4b was a potent inhibitor. Measurement of the apparent K_m (K'_m) of *E. coli* UDG acting on 4a as a function of concentration of an otherwise identical duplex containing **CNdU**

[†] Johns Hopkins University.

^{*} Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine.

(4b) yielded $K_i = 1.4 \pm 0.1$ nM (Figure 3A). The K_i of 4b was lower than the $K_{\rm m}$ (10.7 \pm 0.2 nM) for the substrate. The strength of the inhibition was independently verified using a method in which the ratio of observed rate constants at various concentrations of inhibitor (4b) relative to that in the absence of inhibitor were measured when the substrate is present at a concentration much lower than its $K_{\rm m}$ (Figure 3B, Table 1).¹⁹ The $K_{\rm i}$ (4.6 ± 1.2 nM) is very close to that determined from the plot of $K'_{\rm m}$ versus 4b concentration. Control experiments using duplex DNA containing thymidine (4c) in place of CNdU showed that inhibition was not due to nonspecific binding. For instance, addition of 20 nM 4c $(>4\times$ the K_i of **4b**) diminished the hydrolysis of the substrate by <15%. Finally, 4b also effectively inhibits human UDG (Table 1).



Figure 2. Acceptance of 2 as a substrate by (A) Klenow exo⁺ (B) Klenow exo⁻ using 3 as a template under steady-state conditions.



Figure 3. Determination of K_i of E. coli UDG by 4b by (A) determining the apparent K_m (K'_m) of 4a as a function of inhibitor concentration and (B) measuring the rate constant ratio in the presence of varying [4b] (k_i) versus no inhibitor (k_0) at $[4a] \ll K_m$.

Table 1. UDG Inhibition by 1'-Cyano-2'-deoxyuridine (CNdU)

inhibitor	UDG	<i>K</i> _i (μM)	
$4\mathbf{b}^a$	E. coli	$4.6 \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$	
$4\mathbf{b}^a$	human	$13.8 \pm 1.7 \times 10^{-3}$	
$\mathbf{CNdU}^{a,b}$	E. coli	245.7 ± 19.9	
$\mathbf{CNdU}^{a,b}$	human	131.5^{c}	
$\mathbf{d}\mathbf{U}^{b}$	human	86.4 ^c	

 a Data are the average of at least three experiments. Each experiment consists of three replicates. b Free nucleoside. c Result of a single experiment.

The importance of the ability of DNA polymerase to incorporate CNdU into DNA is illustrated by inhibition studies using the free nucleoside. Although the free nucleoside of CNdU inhibited UDG, its K_i was more than 10,000 times higher than when it was present in DNA (Table 1). In addition, UDG inhibition by dU and CNdU monomers are comparable, indicating that the uracil ring of the inhibitor is bound within the same enzyme active site as the substrate. We propose that a portion of the improved inhibition by 4b is attributable to the inherent electrostatic attraction between the protein and DNA. The 1'-cyano substituent may also indirectly contribute to the ability of DNA containing CNdU to bind to UDG by destabilizing the duplex. Van't Hoff plots of otherwise identical duplexes containing dU (5a) or CNdU (5b) show that the modified nucleotide decreases the enthalpy of melting and reduces the

Table 2. Melting Thermodynamics of DNA Containing CNdU and dU

duplex	T _M (°C) ^a	ΔH (kcal/mol)	ΔS (cal/mol \cdot deg)	$\Delta G_{ m 298}$ (kcal/mol)
5a 5b	$\begin{array}{c} 48.9 \pm 0.1 \\ 44.7 \pm 0.3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 92.9 \pm 0.7 \\ 85.2 \pm 4.8 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 260.8 \pm 0.1 \\ 240.2 \pm 0.1 \end{array}$	15.5 13.6

 a [duplex] = 2.5 μ M.

increase in entropy (Table 2). The thermodynamic differences are consistent with a destabilized duplex, which would be expected to make binding to UDG more favorable by decreasing the energy required to flip the base out of the helix.

In summary, we have described the first competitive inhibitor of UDG that is incorporated into DNA by the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase I, a replicative polymerase. The presence of the molecule within the DNA scaffold contributes significantly to its potency. Nucleosides are often useful as therapeutic agents. 1'-Cyano-2'-deoxyuridine (CNdU) and related molecules may prove useful as a new family of therapeutic or experimental agents that target DNA repair by using the cells' polymerase(s) to incorporate them into DNA. To be useful in this way, CNdU or a pro-drug of it will need to be a substrate for cellular kinases, which at this time is unknown. A potential benefit of such a mechanism is that multiple incorporations can occur for longer DNA molecules leading to amplification of the inhibitory effect beyond that seen here with short DNA duplexes. The in vivo effectiveness of such a strategy has been validated for the inhibition of cytosine 5-methyl DNA methyltransferases by the nucleoside prodrugs 5-azadeoxycytidine and deoxyzebularine.20

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for generous support from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Grant GM-063028 to M.M.G. and Grant GM-056834 to J.T.S.).

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, autoradiogram of full-length extension using 2, Van't Hoff plots, and oligonucleotide characterization. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) David, S. S.; Williams, S. D. Chem. Rev. 1998, 98, 1221-1261.
- Stivers, J. T.; Jiang, Y. L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2729–2759.
 Krusong, K.; Carpenter, E. P.; Bellamy, S. R. W.; Savva, R.; Baldwin, G. S. J. Biol. Chem. 2006, 281, 4983–4992.
- (4) Liu, L.; Nakatsuru, Y.; Gerson, S. L. Clin. Canc. Res. 2002, 8, 2985-2991.
- (5) Krosky, D. J.; Bianchet, M. A.; Seiple, L.; Chung, S.; Amzel, L. M.; Stivers, J. T. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006, 34, 5872-5879
- Chepanoske, C. L.; Porello, S. L.; Fujiwara, T.; Sugiyama, H.; David, S. S. (6)Nucleic Acids Res. 1999, 27, 3197–3204.
- Doi, Y.; Katafuchi, A.; Fujiwara, Y.; Hitomi, K.; Tainer, J. A.; Ide, H.; Iwai, S. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2006**, *34*, 1540–1551. (7)(8)
- Jiang, Y. L.; Ichikawa, Y.; Stivers, J. T. Biochemistry 2002, 41, 7116-7124
- (9) Deng, L.; Schärer, O. D.; Verdine, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7865–7866.
- Sekino, Y.; Bruner, S. D.; Verdine, G. L. J. Biol. Chem. 2000, 275, 36506-(10)36508
- Schärer, O. D.; Nash, H. M.; Jiricny, J.; Laval, J.; Verdine, G. L. J. Biol. Chem. 1998, 273, 8592–8597. (11)
- (12) Ono, T.; Scalf, M.; Smith, L. M. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997, 25, 4581–4588.
 (13) Richardson, F. C.; Kuchta, R. D.; Mazurkiewicz, A.; Richardson, K. A.
- Biochem. Pharmacol. 2000, 59, 1045-1052. Wright, G. E.; Brown, N. C. Pharmac. Ther. 1990, 47, 447-497.
- (15) Strerath, M.; Cramer, J.; Restle, T.; Marx, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002,
- 124, 11230-11231. (16)Creighton, S.; Bloom, L. B.; Goodman, M. F. Methods Enzymol. 1995, 262, 232–256.
- See Supporting Information. (18) Roupioz, Y.; Lhomme, J.; Kotera, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 9129-
- 9135 (19) Krosky, D. J.; Schwarz, F. P.; Stivers, J. T. Biochemistry 2004, 43, 4188-4195
- (20) Lyko, F.; Brown, R. J. Natl. Cancer Institute 2005, 97, 1498-1506.

JA807705Z